

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/524,387 | 10/03/2005 | Mark S. Michael | FWM0054-01 | 6046 |
| 832 BAKER & DA | 7590 08/10/2007 NIELS LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 111 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800 | | | NGUYEN, CHAU N | |
| FORT WAYN | E, IN 46802 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER . |
| | | | 2831 | |
| | | | | - |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/10/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/524,387 | MICHAEL ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MAN INC DATE of this communication and | Chau N. Nguyen | 2831 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | lears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | · | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/19/06</u>. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/524,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 11, 12, 18, and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kohno et al. (5,283,232).

Kohno et al. (Figures 5-8) discloses a metallic wire comprising an outer shell made of a first metal; and a plurality of wire elements (32) disposed within said shell, each element comprising a metallic shell made of a second metal, the metallic shell filled with a third metal, at least one said wire elements comprising a second metal, at least one said wire elements comprising a third metal, said plurality of wire elements being compacted together whereby no voids exist within said outer shell. Kohno et al. also discloses that one of said wire elements is comprised of strands, at least one of said wire elements made of said second metal comprises a tube and said tube is filled with a fourth metal, the third metal is one of silver and an alloy of silver, the metallic wire comprises a lead, and a second

Application/Control Number: 10/524,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

outer shell covering the outer shell, the second outer shell being made of a fourth metal. Claims 12 and 18 are method counterparts of claims 1 and 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-10, 12-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everett (2,050,298) in view of Mar (5,483,022).

Everett (Figures 1-2) discloses a metallic wire comprising an outer shell made of a first metal, and a plurality of wire elements disposed within the shell, each element comprising a third metal, wherein said plurality of wire elements are compacted together whereby no voids exist within the outer shell. Everett does not disclose the third metal being surrounded by a metallic shell made of a second metal. Mar discloses a metallic wire (12) comprising a plurality of wire elements twisted together into a bundle, each comprising a metallic shell (26) made of a metal (cobalt-nickel-chromium alloy) which is filled with another metal (22, silver) different from the metal of the metallic shell. Mar also discloses that some wire elements may be formed of a different combination of materials. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the wire elements as taught by Mar for the wire elements of Everett to meet the specific use of the resulting wire since the wire elements of Mar provide a balance of strength and conductivity.

Although not specifically disclosed by Everett, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use biocompatible material (platinum) for the first material and tantalum for some of the third metal to meet the specific use of the resulting wire since it has been held that within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/524,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 2831

obvious that depending on the specific use of the resulting wire, one skilled in the art would include at least one hollow tube in the metallic wire of Everett since a wire include a composite of conductor wires and hollow tubes is known in the art. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to surround the outer shell of Everett with an insulating cover to protect the wire from the environment since covering a wire with an insulating cover is known in the art. Claims 12-17 and 19 are method counterparts of claims 1-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 17 are considered vague and indefinite because the standard as recited, is changed periodically.

Contact Information

Application/Control Number: 10/524,387

Art Unit: 2831

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

Chau N Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2831